

- The Conflict Set Problem (CONF) is as follows. The input is a pair (S, k) consisting of a collection of sets $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ over some finite domain, and a positive integer k . The question is whether there exists a set C of size k such that every set of S has a nonempty intersection with C . That is, whether for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $S_i \cap C \neq \emptyset$. (We say that C conflicts with S_i .) For example, let $S_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $S_2 = \{1, 4, 5\}$, $S_3 = \{2, 4, 6\}$, $S_4 = \{2, 5, 7\}$, $S_5 = \{3, 7, 9\}$, and let $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_5\}$. There exists a conflict set of size 3 consisting of $C = \{2, 5, 7\}$. Therefore $(S, 3) \in \text{CONF}$. However, there is no conflict set of size 2 for S (since no matter which two elements you pick, some set will fail to contain at least one of them), and therefore $(S, 2)$ does not exist in CONF.

The goal of this problem is to show that CONF is NP-Complete.

- Briefly explain why CONF is in NP.
 - Prove that CONF is NP-hard by showing that the vertex cover problem, VC, is polynomially reducible to CONF.
- Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a subset $V' \subseteq V$, the induced subgraph on V' is the subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ whose vertex set is V' , and for which $(u, v) \in E'$ if $u, v \in V'$ and $(u, v) \in E$. The acyclic subgraph problem (AS) is as follows. Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k , does G contain a subset V' of k vertices such that the induced subgraph on V' is acyclic? (For example, in Fig. 1(a), we show a graph that has an acyclic subgraph of size $k = 8$. I don't believe a larger acyclic subgraph exists. Fig. 1(b) shows the acyclic induced subgraph.)

The goal of this problem is to show that AS is NP-Complete.

- Briefly explain why AS is in NP.
- Prove that AS is NP-hard by showing that the independent set problem, IS, is polynomially reducible to AS.

